

CHARACTERISTICS OF EFFLUENT ORGANIC MATTER IN WASTEWATER

H.K. Shon, S. Vigneswaran and J. Kandasamy

Faculty of Engineering and Information Technology, University of Technology, Sydney, Australia

J. Cho

NOM Ecology Lab., Gwangju Institute of Science and Technology, Gwangju, Korea

Keywords: Effluent organic matter; Biologically treated sewage effluent; Wastewater reuse; Organic characteristics; Molecular weight distribution

Contents

1. Introduction
2. Overview of EfOM
3. Typical Processes Used in Wastewater Treatment
4. Wastewater Characteristics
5. Constituents of EfOM in BTSE
6. Adverse and Benign Effects of EfOM
7. Characteristics of EfOM from BTSE
8. Analytical Methods of EfOM
9. Specific EfOM Components Present in BTSE
 - 9.1. Extracellular Polymeric Substances and Soluble Microbial Products
 - 9.2. Protein
 - 9.3. Carbohydrates
 - 9.4. Fat, Oil and Grease (FOGs)
 - 9.5. Surfactants
 - 9.6. Endocrine Disrupting Chemicals (EDCs) and Pharmaceuticals and Personal Care Products (PPCPs)
10. Analytical Methods of Aggregate EfOM
 - 10.1. BOD, COD, and TOC
 - 10.2. Hydrophobic (HP), Transphilic (TP) and Hydrophilic (HL) Fraction
 - 10.3. Aromatic and aliphatic compounds
 - 10.4. Charge density
 - 10.5. Functional groups
 - 10.6. Size distribution of EfOM
 - 10.6.1. Molecular Weight Distribution (MWD)
 - 10.6.2. Coupling of UV and Fluorescence Detectors
 - 10.6.3. Coupling of UV and DOC detectors
 - 10.6.4. UV Absorbance Ratio Index (URI)
 - 10.6.5. Flow FFF
 - 10.7. NMR spectroscopy
 - 10.8. Allogenic organic matter (AOM)
 - 10.9. Assimilable organic carbon (AOC)
 - 10.10. Biodegradable organic carbon (BDOC)

10.11. Toxicity analysis

Glossary

Bibliography

Biographical Sketches

Summary

Wastewater reuse is being increasingly promoted as a strategy for conservation of limited resources of freshwater and as a mean of safeguarding the aquatic environment from contaminants present in wastewater. Although secondary and tertiary treated wastewater is often discharged into surface waters, it cannot be used for reuse purposes without further treatment. Some of the parameters of concern for human and environmental health are components of organic matter originating from wastewater treatment plant (WWTP) effluents. This effluent organic matter (EfOM) should be carefully characterized in order to find an optimum treatment method for water reuse. This review presents characteristics and analyses of EfOM components present in WWTP effluents.

1. Introduction

Wastewater treatment is employed as an action to protect the quality of limited freshwater resources and therefore make it more acceptable for beneficial reuse. However, achieving this objective remains elusive as the total discharge of biologically treated sewage effluent (BTSE) is continually on the rise due to increasing population and urbanization. Wastewater reclamation is recognized as one of the most effective ways of increasing the availability of limited freshwater and at the same time, the use of reclaimed water can reduce the demand for freshwater. For the purpose of wastewater reclamation/reuse, it is imperative to study the characteristics of effluent organic matter (EfOM) in the BTSE in detail in order to design effective treatment methods.

2. Overview of EfOM

The systematic treatment of wastewater was started in the late 1800s and early 1900s (Tchobanoglous and Burton, 1991). For the last two centuries, wastewater treatment has continually been developed to meet increasingly stricter disposal standards. Recently, wastewater for reuse is being increasingly emphasized as a strategy for conservation.

Although many previous researchers have worked extensively on natural organic matter (NOM) in surface waters, there have been few studies related to EfOM in wastewater. This is probably due to the diverse characteristics of wastewater which vary by place and season. However, as concerns related to water reuse increase an interest in characterizing the EfOM has become more important.

The composition of EfOM is a combination of those of NOM, soluble microbial products (SMPs) and trace harmful chemicals. Most of the NOM originates from drinking water, which is one of major components in wastewater, while SMPs come from biological treatment with the WWTP and non-biodegradable organic matter. Of

particular interest are recalcitrant organic chemicals which are resistant to biodegradation, and thus challenging to remove during typical wastewater treatment. Some micro-contaminants associated with wastewater effluent may cause adverse impacts to aquatic and human health if the compounds are present in recycled water. Some of the compounds of concern include: disinfection by-products (DBP), *N*-nitrosodimethylamines (NDMA), pesticides, herbicides, pharmaceuticals and endocrine disrupting chemicals (EDCs) (Boyd et al., 2003; Kim et al., 2006).

3. Typical Processes Used in Wastewater Treatment

Wastewater collected from municipalities, communities and industries contains a wide range of pollutants. The treatment train normally adopted includes physical, chemical, and biological methods. WWTP is divided into four major treatment groups: i) preliminary, ii) primary, iii) secondary, and iv) tertiary advanced treatment. Conventional sewage treatment includes primary treatment to remove the majority of suspended solids, secondary biological treatment to degrade the biodegradable binding organic matter and nutrients and tertiary treatment to remove a portion of the remaining organic and inorganic solids and pathogenic microorganisms through a filtration step.

The preliminary treatment of wastewater removes coarse and readily settleable inorganic solids with the size range of more than 0.01 mm, such as sand and grit particles. The removal is carried out using screens and grit chambers, respectively. After coarse and floating solids are removed in preliminary treatment, primary treatment removes the bulk of suspended solids through sedimentation tanks or clarifiers. During sedimentation, particles from 0.1 mm to 35 μm including both organic and inorganic matter are removed. Of the 70-90 percent of suspended solid removed by sedimentation, 30-40 percent of this reduction is oxygen-demanding suspended solids (Tchobanoglous and Burton, 1991).

Secondary treatment is employed to remove oxygen-demanding organic pollutants which are present mostly in the dissolved form. This process utilizes bacterial biological degradation to remove the dissolved pollutants. However, these microbes will produce SMPs and extracellular polymeric substances (EPSs), which can be toxic and inhibit nitrification.

Tertiary treatment removes part of the remaining organic pollutants through a filtration process. Final disinfection is often utilized to reduce the bacterial count, particularly pathogenic microbes. This is mainly adopted to avoid poorly treated effluent quality and to protect the receiving water.

4. Wastewater Characteristics

An understanding of the chemical composition of wastewater is important since this allows an understanding of reactions and interactions with the organic and inorganic compounds (Roila et al., 1994). The organic and biological composition of wastewater is a reflection of the influent water usage (such as industrial, domestic and agricultural activities). Wastewater is treated, discharged to a receiving stream, and withdrawn for reuse by a downstream population. Consequently, the chemical and bacteriological

composition must be monitored to ensure public health.

Concentrations of nutrients, such as nitrogen and phosphorus, should be reduced to a level protective of the receiving stream (e.g., eutrophication and subsequent siltation). Releases of microbiological contaminants and other pollutants should also be minimized to protect downstream users. The organic composition of wastewater is approximately 50 percent proteins, 40 percent carbohydrates, 10 percent fats and oils, and trace amounts (e.g. $\mu\text{g/L}$ or less) of priority pollutants, surfactants, and emerging contaminants. The microbiological composition of domestic wastewater often contains 10^5 - 10^8 colony forming unit (CFU)/mL of coliform organisms, 10^3 - 10^4 CFU/mL fecal streptococci, 10^1 - 10^3 protozoan cysts, and 10^1 - 10^2 virus particles. For adequate protection of public health, the safety of wastewater discharged to a receiving stream must be ensured (Ellis, 2004).

Fundamental information on specific characteristics of organic matter is important in the optimization of treatment processes used in WWTP. The chemical composition of the sediments, organic macromolecules, or sewage sludge has been identified in many studies (del Rio et al., 1998; Réveillé et al., 2003; Müller et al., 2000; Her et al., 2002; Leenheer and Croue, 2003).

5. Constituents of EfOM in BTSE

The presence of trace organic pollutants in wastewater has been the cause of increasing public concern in recent decades due to potential health risks. EfOM in wastewater consists of both particulates and dissolved substances, which has been found to include several trace organic contaminants including EDCs and PPCPs (Halling-Sorensen et al., 1998; Daughton and Ternes, 1999; Snyder et al., 1999; Snyder et al., 2001d; Vanderford et al., 2003). EfOM can be summarized into three general classes based on their origins:

- (i) NOM derived from drinking water sources,
- (ii) Synthetic organic compounds produced during domestic use and disinfection by-products generated during disinfection processes of water and wastewater treatment and
- (iii) SMPs derived during biological processes of wastewater treatment (Drewes and Fox, 1999).

The constituents that are found in BTSE are shown in Table 1, (Levine et al., 1985). The fraction of particulate organic material measured as suspended solids (SS) includes protozoa, algae, bacterial floc and single cell, microbial waste products and other miscellaneous debris. Dissolved organic matter (smaller than $0.45 \mu\text{m}$) are typically cell fragments and macromolecules. Thus, EfOM can be classified into two main groups by size groupings:

- (i) Particulate organic carbon (POC) above $0.45 \mu\text{m}$ and
- (ii) Dissolved organic carbon (DOC) below that limit. Both groups include a wide variety of constituents (Table 1).

Organic constituents in BTSE	Size (μm)	Molecular Weight (daltons)
Particulate organic carbon (POC)		
Bacteria	10-10 ¹	
Organic Debris	10-10 ³	
Algae and protozoa	10-10 ³	
Dissolved organic carbon (DOC)		
Recalcitrant matter		5x10 ¹ -10 ³
Humic acid		10 ³ -10 ⁶
Nutrient		10-10 ²
RNA		10 ⁴ -10 ⁷
Cell fragment		10 ⁷ -10 ⁹
Chlorophyll		5x10 ² -10 ⁴
Polysaccharide		10 ⁴ -10 ⁷
Carbohydrate		10 ² -10 ³
Protein		10 ⁴ -5x10 ⁸
Amino acid Vitamin		5x10 ¹ -5x10 ²
Vitamin		10 ³ -10 ⁴
Virus		10 ⁶ -10 ⁹
Fatty acid		10 ² -10 ³
Extracellular enzyme		10 ⁴ -10 ⁵
DNA		10 ⁸ -10 ⁹

Table 1 Typical organic constituents in BTSE and their size ranges

Painter (1973) and Levine et al. (1985) showed that organic contaminants of interest in wastewater range in size from less than 0.001 μm to well over 100 μm . The major macromolecules in BTSE are the polysaccharides, proteins, lipids, nucleic acids and NOM (Levine et al., 1985). EfOM in the range from 10³ to 10⁶ Da include humic acids and fulvic acids present in drinking water. Wastewater compounds smaller than 10³ Da include carbohydrates, amino acids, vitamins, and chlorophyll. Persistent chemical compounds such as dichloro-diphenyl-trichloroethane (DDT), polychlorinated biphenyls and other substances of public health are often lower molecular weight (MW) compounds (Stull et al., 1996; Pempkowiak and Obarska-Pempkowiak, 2002). To remove these compounds, it is important to examine the interrelationship between the ranges of contaminant size and wastewater treatment operations and processes.

The POC includes zooplankton, algae, bacteria, and debris organic matter from soil and plants. It can easily be removed by solid-liquid separation processes. However, the DOC can pass on many effects on water quality and therefore it remains a focus of research in wastewater treatment (Shon et al., 2005a). Figure 1 shows the most significant DOC components in water in terms of different fractions, (Thurman, 1985; Cho, 1998).




Figure 1 Different fractions of DOC and their constituents.

6. Adverse and Benign Effects of EfOM

EfOM affects essentially all chemical and biological processes in aquatic environments. It has a stabilizing effect, opposite to that of metal ions. EfOM can have the following consequences:

- (i) Precursor for the formation of disinfection-by-products,
- (ii) Exerts higher coagulant and oxidant demands,
- (iii) Fouls adsorbents and membranes;
- (iv) Causes corrosion problems, and
- (v) Supplies substrate for biomass growth in water distribution networks.

The presence of EfOM in BTSE can also be helpful in some instances. For instance, EfOM substances can bind with metals and organic compounds to reduce bioavailability and subsequent toxicity. Some treatment processes implicitly benefit from the physico-chemical effects of EfOM on colloids. Humic acids can be used as direct means to extract pollutants (Yates and Von Wandruszka, 1999).

7. Characteristics of EfOM from BTSE

Wastewater qualities can be classified into 3 groups: i) physical, ii) chemical and iii) biological. The physical characteristics include color, odor, solids and temperature; chemical characteristics include the amount or concentration of carbohydrates, fats, oils and grease, pesticides, phenols, proteins, surfactants and volatile organic matter, alkalinity and chlorides, heavy metals, nitrogen, phosphorus, sulfur, hydrogen sulfide and methane, oxygen; and chemical characteristics include animals and plants, eubacteria and archaebacteria, viruses. The sources of these various characteristics are outlined in Tchobanoglous and Burton (1991). It should be noted that many of the parameters listed are interrelated. Tchobanoglous and Burton (1991) observed that temperature, a physical property, affects both the biological activity in the wastewater and the amounts of gases dissolved in wastewater.

Conventional wastewater treatment cannot remove all contaminants, as recent discoveries have indicated that trace chemicals in effluents can impact fish at ng/L concentrations (Bevans et al., 1996; Kramer et al., 1998; Renner, 1998; Jobling et al., 2003; Snyder et al., 2004a; Parrott and Blunt, 2005). Suspended solids can lead to developing sludge deposits and anaerobic conditions when unfiltered wastewater is discharged into an aquatic environment. In addition, their biological stabilization can lead to the depletion of oxygen and to an increase in septic conditions. Nutrients, particularly nitrogen, phosphorus, and carbon, are essential components for bacterial growth. When discharged into the aquatic environment, these nutrients can ultimately lead to the growth of undesirable aquatic life. However, nutrients are also essential for a healthy aquatic environment and some level of productivity is required to sustain healthy fish populations. Therefore, almost complete elimination of nutrients and carbon may have an unhealthy impact on receiving waters, hence careful thought should be given to any water quality changes in effluents discharged to surface water.

8. Analytical Methods of EfOM

Over the years, a number of different analytical methods have been developed to determine the organic content in waste waters. In general, these methods may be divided into those used to measure gross concentrations of EfOM greater than about 1 mg/L and those used to measure trace concentrations in the range of nano- and micro- sizes (Tchobanoglous and Burton, 1991). Here, EfOM includes both NOM and EfOM and the analytical method to measure NOM is the same as EfOM.

The organic pollutants are generally measured in terms of surrogate parameters such as biochemical oxygen demand (BOD), chemical oxygen demand (COD), total organic carbon (TOC), etc. However, considering the harmful effects of trace EfOM such as DBP, EDC, PPCP, etc., they are also measured. The characterization of EfOM can also be classified into two groups of traditional analyses and numerous analytical methods (Her, 2002). Most chemical or physical characterization has been conducted on traditional analyses (e.g., light absorptivity, DOC concentration, aromaticity, fluorescence, XAD fractionation and MW) due to the difficulty and higher cost of detailed structure analysis. The advanced numerous analytical approaches include NMR,

pyrolysis-GC/MS, IR, size exclusion chromatography (SEC) coupled to electrospray ionization quadrupole time-of-flight mass spectrometry (ESI-Z-TOF-MS) and thermogravimetric methods. The SEC coupled with ESI-Z-TOF-MS allows a better understanding of the chemistry of EfOM, of its complexing properties towards heavy metals and radionuclides for environmental studies (Plancque et al., 2001; Reemtsma and These, 2005). The most popular analytical methods of the characterization of EfOM can be defined as i) aromaticity by UV absorbance, charge density by potentiometric titrations, ii) hydrophobicity by fractionation, iii) functional groups by attenuated total reflection-Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (ATR-FTIR), iv) polysaccharide, protein, lipid, and fatty acid by flash pyrolysis/gas chromatography/mass spectrometry analysis (pyrolysis/GC/MS), and v) molecular weight distribution (MWD) by high performance size exclusion chromatography (HPSEC).

In this chapter, EfOM is divided into specific EfOM components and aggregate EfOM. Detailed characteristics of EfOM by the classification will be presented in terms of origin, concentration, analytical method and effect.

9. Specific EfOM Components Present in BTSE

The contaminants in BTSE can be separated into size fractions based upon successive sedimentation, centrifugation and filtration. The four molecular size fractions are classified by range as settleable, supracolloidal, colloidal and soluble. The size range are less than 0.08 μm , 0.08 – 1.0 μm , 1 - 100 μm , greater 100 μm for soluble, colloidal, supracolloidal, settleable respectively (Levine et al., 1985). The organic content varies with the amount of grease, protein, carbohydrates. For soluble organics is 12%, 4%, 58% of grease, protein, carbohydrates respectively (Levine et al., 1985). An important conclusion from the early studies is that particles smaller than 1.0 μm can be degraded biochemically at a much more rapid rate than particles larger than 1.0 μm (Levine et al., 1985). Most of the EfOM in the treated water are found in the soluble fraction (86% of the COD). The elimination of EfOM by biological treatment is 90% for the soluble fraction and 96% for the bulk EfOM (Dignac et al., 2000). In a wastewater, approximate 75 percent of the suspended solids and 40 percent of the filterable solids are organic in nature (Levine et al., 1985). These solids are derived from both animals and plants as well as their activities. Organic compounds are normally comprised of a combination of carbon, hydrogen and oxygen with nitrogen in some cases. Other important elements, such as sulphur, phosphorus and iron, may also be present. Small quantities of a large number of different synthetic organic molecules include surfactants, organic priority pollutants, volatile organic compounds and agricultural pesticides. The number of such compounds is growing as organic molecules are continually being synthesized. The presence of these substances has complicated wastewater treatment because many of them either cannot be or are slowly decomposed biologically. Along with protein, carbohydrate, fat, oil, grease and urea, wastewater also contains small quantities of a large number of different synthetic organic molecules. Typical examples include surfactants, priority pollutants, volatile organic compounds, and agricultural pesticides. The presence of these substances has complicated wastewater treatment since several have been found to be resistant to biodegradation (Snyder et al., 2004b).

-
-
-

TO ACCESS ALL THE 39 PAGES OF THIS CHAPTER,
Visit: <http://www.eolss.net/Eolss-sampleAllChapter.aspx>

Bibliography

Aguayo, S., Muñoz, M. J., Torre, A. de la, Roset, J., Peña, E. de la and Carballo, M. (2004) Identification of organic compounds and ecotoxicological assessment of sewage treatment plants (STP) effluents. *Science of The Total Environment*, 38 (1-3), 69-81 [this paper describes how a combined chemical and toxicological analyses can be used to identify key toxicants]

Aiken, G., McKnight, D., Thorn, K., and Thurman, E. (1992). Isolation of hydrophilic organic acids from water using non-ionic macroporous resins. *Organic Geochemistry*, 18 (4), 567-573. [this paper describes how non-ionic macroporous resins are used for fractionation]

Alcock R. E., Sweetman A., et al. (1999) Assessment of Organic Contaminant Fate in Waste Water Treatment Plants. *Chemosphere* 38(10), 2247-2262. [this paper gives details of removal of endocrine disruptors and PPCP compounds in WWTP]

Amy, G, Bryant, C., and Belyani, M. (1987) Molecular weight distribution of soluble organic matter in various secondary and tertiary effluents. *Water Science and Technology*, 19 (3-4), 529-538. [this paper describes the characterisation of soluble organic matter using molecular weight distribution]

Ankley G., Mihaich E., et al. (1998) Overview of a Workshop on Screening Methods for Detecting Potential (Anti-) Estrogenic/Androgenic Chemicals in Wildlife. *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry* 17(1), 68-87. [this paper describes the detection of endocrine disruptors]

APHA, 1995 Standard methods for the examination of water and waste water (18), American Public Health Association (APHA), Washington, DC (1995). [this manual details standard detection methods used in water and waste water treatment]

Aquino S. F. and Stuckey D. C. (2004) Soluble microbial products formation in anaerobic chemostats in the presence of toxic compounds. *Water Research*, 38 (2), 255-266. [this paper details the detection of soluble microbial products]

Barcel D. (2003) Emerging pollutants in water analysis. *TrAC Trends in Analytical Chemistry* 22 (10), xiv-xvi. [this paper details emerging pollutants that are of concern in water treatment]

Barker D.J. and Stuckey D.C. (2001) Modeling of soluble microbial products in anaerobic digestion: the effect of feed strength and composition. *Water Environ Res* 73 (2), 173-184. [this paper details the formation of soluble microbial products]

Bevans H. E., Goodbred S. L., et al. (1996) Synthetic Organic Compounds and Carp Endocrinology and Histology in Las Vegas Wash and Las Vegas and Callville Bays of Lake Mead, Nevada, 1992 and 1995. *Water-Resources Investigations Report* 96-4266. [this report details contaminants not removed by conventional wastewater treatment]

Bolto B., Dixon D. and Eldridge R. (2004) Ion exchange for the removal of natural organic matter. *Reactive and Functional Polymers*, 60, 171-182. [this paper describes the ion exchange process for removal of natural organic matter]

Boyd G.R., Reemtsma H., Grimm D.A. and Mitra S. (2003) Pharmaceuticals and personal care products (PPCPs) in surface and treated waters of Louisiana, USA and Ontario, Canada. *The Science of The Total Environment*, 311 (1-3), 135-149. [this paper describes the adverse impacts of micro-contaminants]

associated with wastewater effluent]

Brinkman, T., Zwiener, C., and Frimmel, F.H. (2000) Trace level determination of low molecular weight organic acids in humic substances by ion exchange chromatography. *Vom Wasser*, 94, 41-50. [this paper describes the detection of humic acids]

Cai, Y., "Size distribution measurements of dissolved organic carbon in natural waters using ultrafiltration technique." *Water Res.*, 33, 3056 (1999). [this paper describes the detection of dissolved organic carbon in natural waters]

Cho, J. Natural organic matter (NOM) rejection by, and flux-decline of, nanofiltration (NF) and ultrafiltration (UF) membranes. Ph.D. dissertation, Department of Civil, Environmental, and Architectural engineering, University of Colorado at Boulder, 1998. [this thesis is a study of NOM removal using membrane processes]

Cho, J., Amy, G. and Pellegrino, J. (2000) Membrane filtration of natural organic matter: comparison of flux decline, NOM rejection, and foulants during filtration with three UF membranes. *Desalination*, 127, 283-298. [this paper describes the removal of NOM using membrane processes]

Chronakis, I.S. (2001) Gelation of edible blue-green algae protein isolate (*Spirulina platensis* Strain Pacifica): thermal transitions, rheological properties, and molecular forces involved. *J Agric Food Chem* 49, 888-898. [this paper describes the characteristics of blue-green algae]

Cohen, S.A. and Michaud, D.P., 1993. Synthesis of a fluorescent derivatizing reagent, 6-aminoquinoly-N-hydroxysuccinimidyl carbamate, and its application for the analysis of hydrolysate amino acids via high-performance liquid chromatography. *Ana. Biochem.* 211, pp. 279-287. [this paper describes the analysis of amino acids using high-performance liquid chromatography]

Cook J. W., Dodds E. C., et al. (1934) Estrogenic activity of some condensed ring compounds in relation to their other biological activities. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London B* 114, 272-286. [this paper details how certain chemicals to mimic estrogen]

Daughton C. G. and Ternes T. A. (1999) Pharmaceuticals and Personal Care Products in the Environment: Agents of Subtle Change? *Environmental Health Perspectives* 107(6), 907-938. [this paper describes the adverse impacts of PPCPs]

del Rio J.C., McKinney D.E., Knicker H., Nanny M.A., Minard R.D. and Hatcher P.G. (1998) Structural characterization of bio- and geo-macromolecules by off-line thermochemolysis with tetramethylammonium hydroxide. *Journal of Chromatography A* 823 (1-2), 433-448. [this paper details the chemical composition of organic macromolecules]

Desbrow C., Routledge E. J., et al. (1998) Identification of Estrogenic Chemicals in STW Effluent. 1. Chemical Fractionation and in Vitro Biological Screening. *Environmental Science & Technology* 32(11), 1549-1558. [this paper describes the identification of endocrine disruptors]

Dignac M.F., Ginestet P., Ryback D., Bruchet A., Urbain V. and Scribe P. (2000) Fate of wastewater organic pollution during activated carbon sludge treatment: nature of residual organic matter. *Water Research* 37, 4185-4194. [this paper details the molecular composition of organic matter]

Drewes J. and Fox P. (1999) Fate of Natural Organic Matter (NOM) during Groundwater Recharge using Reclaimed Water. *Water Science and Technology*, 40 (9), 241-248. [this paper describes how residual NOM and SMP influence the character of DOC in treated water]

Drewes J. E., Heberer T., et al. (2002) Fate of pharmaceuticals during indirect potable reuse. *Water Science and Technology* 46(3), 73-80. [this paper describes the removal of PPCP compounds in WWTP]

Dubois, M., Gilles, K.A., Hamilton, J.K. Rebers, P.A. and Smith, F. (1956) Colorimetric method for determination of sugars and related substances. *Anal Chem* 28 3, 350-356. [this paper details how carbohydrate concentration is determined using the colorimetric procedure]

Edzwald, J. K., Becker, William C., Wattier, Kevin L. (1985). Surrogate parameters for monitoring organic matter and THM precursors, *JAWWA*, Vol. 77, No. 4, pp. 122-132. [this paper describes how EfOM and humic content can be characterized by UV absorbance]

Ellis T.G. (2004) Chemistry of wastewater. Encyclopedia of Life Support System (EOLSS), Developed under the Auspices of the UNESCO, Eolss Publishers, Oxford, UK, <http://www.eolss.net>. [this book chapter details the characteristic of wastewater]

Escobar, I. and Randall, A., 1999. Influence of nanofiltration on distribution system biostability. *J. Am. Water Works Assoc.* 91 6, pp. 76–89. [this paper describes the measurement of assimilable organic carbon]

Escobar, I.C. and Randall, A.A. (2001) Assimilable organic carbon (AOC) and biodegradable dissolved organic carbon (BDOC): complementary measurements. *Water Research*, 35 (18), 4444-4454. [this paper describes the measurement of assimilable organic carbon]

Fisher A. L., Keasling H. H., et al. (1952) Estrogenic action of some DDT analogs. *Proc. Soc. Exptl. Biol. Med.* 81, 439-441. [This paper describes the ability of certain chemicals to mimic estrogen]

Fontanier V., Farines V., Albet J., Baig S. and Molinier J. (2006) Study of catalyzed ozonation for advanced treatment of pulp and paper mill effluents. *Water Research*, 40 (2), 303-310. [this paper describes the treatment of wastewaters from pulp and paper mills]

Fox, A., Morgan, S.L. and Gilbert, J., 1989. Preparation of alditol acetates and their analysis by gas chromatography GC and mass spectrometry. In: Bierman, C.J. and MacGinnis, G.D., Editors, 1989. *Analysis of Carbohydrates by GLC and MS*, CRC Press, pp. 87–117. [this paper details how monosaccharides are derivatized by the alditol acetate method]

Gillesby B. E. and Zacharewski T. R. (1998) Exoestrogens: Mechanisms of action and strategies for identification and assessment. *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry* 17(1), 3-14. [This paper describes the ability of certain chemicals to mimic estrogen]

Gimbert, L. J., Andrew, K. N., Haygarth, P. M. and Worsfold, P. J., “Environmental applications of flow field-flow fractionation (FIFFF),” *TrAC Trends in Analytical Chemistry*, 22, 615 (2003). [this paper details the detection of detection of polymers and inorganic colloids using FFF]

Halling-Sorensen B., Nielsen S. N., et al. (1998) Occurrence, Fate and Effects of Pharmaceutical Substances in the Environment - A Review. *Chemosphere* 36(2), 357-393. [This paper is a good review of PPCPs]

Harries J. E., Sheahan D. A., et al. (1997) Estrogenic activity in five United Kingdom rivers detected by measurement of vitellogenesis in caged male trout. *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry* 16(3),534-542. [this paper describes a field study of the effect endocrine disruptors in aquatic environments]

Hartmann, R. L. and Williams, S. K. R., “Flow field-flow fractionation as an analytical technique to rapidly quantitate membrane fouling,” *J. Membrane Sci.*, 209, 93 (2002). [this paper describes how FFF is used to quantify membrane fouling]

Her, N.G. Identification and characterization of foulants and scalants on NF membrane. Ph.D. dissertation, Department of Civil, Environmental, and Architectural engineering, University of Colorado at Boulder, 2002. [this thesis provides useful information on the use of HPLC for characterizing organic matter and examples of HPSEC-UVA-Fluorescence-DOC and examples of HPSEC-UV chromatograms and URI values (UVA_{210}/UVA_{254}) for humic acids referred to in this chapter]

Her N., Amy G., Foss, D.; Cho, J.; Yoon, Y.; Kosenka, P. (2002) Optimization of method for detecting and characterizing NOM by HPLC-size exclusion chromatography with UV and on-line DOC detection. *Environmental Science & Technology* 36(5): 1069-1076. [this paper provides useful information on the use of HPLC for characterizing organic matter]

Her, N., Amy, G., Foss, D. and Cho, J., “Variations of Molecular Weight Estimation by HP-Size Exclusion Chromatography with UVA versus Online DOC Detection,” *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 36, 3393 (2002). [this paper provides useful information on the use of HPLC for characterizing organic matter]

Huber, S. A. “Evidence for membrane fouling by specific TOC constituents,” *Desal.*, 119, 229 (1998). [this paper describes MWD analysis of organic matter]

Huck, P. M., Measurement of Biodegradable Organic Matter and Bacterial Growth Potential in Drinking

Water, Journal of American Water Works Association, vol. 82, no. 7, pp. 78-86, 1990. [this paper provides good information on measurement of organic matter]

Imai, A., Fukushima, T., Matsushige, K. Kim, Y.H., Choi, K. (2002) Characterization of dissolved organic matter in effluents from wastewater treatment plants. *Water Research*. 36 (6), 859-870. [this paper provides useful information on characterizing of organic matter]

Jarusutthirak C. (2002) Fouling and flux decline of reverse osmosis (RO), nanofiltration (NF) and ultrafiltration (UF) membranes associated with effluent organic matter (EfOM) during wastewater reclamation/reuse. Ph. D. Dissertation, University of Colorado at Boulder. [this thesis is on the study fouling in membrane processes]

Jobling S., Casey D., et al. (2003) Comparative responses of molluscs and fish to environmental estrogens and an estrogenic effluent. *Aquatic Toxicology* 65(2), 205-220. [this paper describes a study of the effect of endocrine disruptors in aquatic environments]

Jobling S., Coey S., et al. (2002) Wild intersex roach (*Rutilus rutilus*) have reduced fertility. *Biology of Reproduction* 67(2), 515-524. [this paper describes a field study of the effect of endocrine disruptors in aquatic environments]

Kim S.D., Cho J., Kim In S., Vanderford B.J. and Snyder S.A. (2007) Occurrence and removal of pharmaceuticals and endocrine disruptors in South Korean surface, drinking, and waste waters. *Water Research*, 41 (5), 1013-1021. [this paper outlines the treatment processes for PPCP and endocrine disruptors]

Kramer V. J., Miles-Richardson S., et al. (1998) Reproductive impairment and induction of alkaline-labile phosphate, a biomarker of estrogen exposure, in fathead minnows (*Pimephales promelas*) exposed to waterborne 17 β -estradiol. *Aquatic Toxicology* 40, 335-360. [this paper describes a field study of the effect of endocrine disruptors in aquatic environments]

Lee, S., Cho, Y.G., Song, Y., Kim, I.S. and Cho, J. (2003) Transport characteristics of wastewater effluent organic matter in nanofiltration and ultrafiltration membranes. *Journal of Water Supply* 52 (2) 129-139. [this paper details how organic matter impacts the fouling in membrane processes]

Leenheer J. A. and Croue J.-P. (2003) Characterizing aquatic dissolved organic matter. *Environmental Science & Technology* 37(1), 18A-26A. [this paper provides useful information on characteristics of organic matter]

Levine A.D., Tchobanoglous G. and Asano T. (1985) Characterization of the size distribution of contaminants in wastewater: treatment and reuse implications, *Journal WPCF* 57 (7), 805-816. [this paper provides useful information on MWD analysis for contaminants in wastewater.]

Lindqvist N., Tuhkanen T., et al. (2005) Occurrence of acidic pharmaceuticals in raw and treated sewages and in receiving waters. *Water Research* 39, 2219-2228. [this paper describes a study of the effect of PPCP in aquatic environments]

Malcolm, R.L. (1985) Geochemistry of stream fulvic and humic substances. Aiken et al., *Humic substances in soil, sediments and water*. John Wiley and Sons, 181-209. [This book gives good information on humic and fulvic substances]

Mulder M. *Basic principles of membrane technology* (2nd edition). Boston: Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1996. [This book gives good information on membrane processes]

Müller M.B., Schmitt D., Frimmel, F.H. (2000) Fractionation of natural organic matter by size exclusion chromatography - properties and stability of fractions. *Environmental Science & Technology* 34 (23): 4867-4872. [this papers describes the characterization of organic matter]

Murk, A.J., Legler, J., Van Lipzig, M.M.H., Meerman, J.H.N., Belfroid, A.C., Spenkelink, A., Van der Burg, B., Rijs, G.B.J. and Vethaak, D., 2002. Detection of estrogenic potency in wastewater and surface water with three in vitro bioassays. *Environ Toxicol Chem* 21 1, pp. 16–23. [this paper describes a study of the effect of endocrine disruptors in aquatic environments]

Namkung E. and Rittmann B.E. (1986) Soluble microbial products (SMP) formation kinetics by biofilms.

Water Research 20 (6), 795–806. [this paper describes a study of the formation of SMPs]

Nasu, M., Goto, M., Kato, H., Oshima, Y. and Tanaka, H., 2001. Study on endocrine disrupting chemicals in wastewater treatment plants. *Water Sci Technol* 43 2, 101–108. [this paper describes a study of the removal of endocrine disrupting chemicals in wastewater treatment plants]

Noguera D.R., Araki N. and Rittmann B.E. (1994) Soluble microbial products (SMP) in anaerobic chemostats. *Biotechnol Bioeng* 44, 1040–1047. [this paper describes a study of the formation of SMPs]

Nollet, Leo M.L. (2000) *Handbook of water analysis*. Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 390-398. [this is a good reference book on water analysis]

Owen, D. M., Amy, G. L., Chowdhury, Z. K. (1993). Characterisation of natural organic matter and its relationship to treatability. AWWARF and AWWA conference proceedings, Denver, CO. [this paper details the characterisation of natural organic matter]

Painter H.A. (1973) Organic compounds in solution in sewage effluents. *Chem. Ind.* September, 818-822. [this paper details the characterisation of organic matter]

Parkin G.F. and McCarty P.L. (1981) Production of soluble organic nitrogen during activated sludge treatment. *J. WPCF* 53 (1), 99-112. [this paper describes a study of the formation of SMPs]

Parrott J. L. and Blunt B. R. (2005) Life-cycle exposure of fathead minnows (*Pimephales promelas*) to an ethinylestradiol concentration below 1 ng/L reduces egg fertilization success and demasculinizes males. *Environmental Toxicology* 20(2), 131-141. [this paper describes a study of the effect of endocrine disruptors in aquatic environments]

Pempkowiak J. and Obarska-Pempkowiak H. (2002) Long-term changes in sewage sludge stored in a reed bed. *The Science of the Total Environment* 297, 59-65. [this paper describes the characterisation of sewage sludge]

Petrović M., Gonzalez S. and Barceló D. (2003) Analysis and removal of emerging contaminants in wastewater and drinking water. *TrAC Trends in Analytical Chemistry* 22 (10), 685-696. [this paper describes a study on the treatment of PPCPs and endocrine disruptors]

Peuravuori, J. and Pihlaja, K. (1999) Structural characterization of humic substances. In: Keskkitalo, J. and Eloranta, P. (eds) *Limnology of humic waters*. Backhuys, Leiden, 22-34. [This book chapter gives good information on humic substances]

Poole, C. F., “The essence of chromatography,” Elsevier Science B.V. Netherland (2003). [This book chapter gives good information on the use of chromatography]

Plancque G., Amekraz B., Moulin V., Toulhoat P. and Moulin C. (2001) Molecular structure of fulvic acids by electrospray with quadrupole time-of-flight mass spectrometry. *Rapid Communications in Mass Spectrometry* (2001), 15(10), 827-835. [This paper gives good information on fulvic substances]

Reemtsma T. and These A. (2005) Comparative investigation of low-molecular-weight fulvic acids of different origin by SEC-Q-TOF-MS: new insights into structure and formation. *Environmental Science and Technology*, 39(10), 3507-3512. [This paper gives good information on fulvic substances]

Renner R. (1998). Human estrogens linked to endocrine disruption. *Environmental Science & Technology* 32(1), 8A. [this paper describes a study of the effect of endocrine disruptors]

Reszat, T. N. and Hendry, M. J., “Characterizing dissolved organic carbon using asymmetrical flow field-flow fractionation with on-line UV and DOC detection,” *Anal. Chem.* 77, 4194 (2005). [this paper details the detection of detection of organic matter using FFF]

Réveillé V., Mansuy L., Jardé È., and Garnier-Sillam È (2003) Characterisation of sewage sludge-derived organic matter: lipids and humic acids. *Organic Geochemistry* 34 (4), 615-627. [this paper details the characterisation of organic matter]

Rittmann B.E. and McCarty P.L. (2001) *Environmental biotechnology: principles and applications*. , McGraw-Hill International Editions, London, UK. [this book gives good information on microbial products]

Rittmann B.E., Bae W., Namkung E. and Lu C.J. (1987) A critical evaluation of microbial product formation in biological processes. *Water Sci Technol* 19, 517–528. [this paper describes a study of the formation of SMPs]

Roila T., Kortelainen P., David M.B. and Makinen I. (1994) Acid-base characteristics of DOC in Finnish lakes. In: Senesi, N., Miano, T.M. (eds) *Humic substances in the global environment and implications for human health*. Elsevier, Amsterdam, 863-868. [this paper details the characteristics of organic matter]

Schueler F. W. (1946) Sex-hormonal action and chemical constitution. *Science* 103: 221-223. [this paper describes a study of the effect of endocrine disruptors]

Servais, P., Billen, G. and Hascoet, M.C., 1987. Determination of the biodegradable fraction of dissolved organic matter in waters. *Water Res.* 21, pp. 445–450. [this paper details the characteristics of organic matter]

Shiozawa, T., Tada, A., Nukaya, H., Watanabe, T., Takahashi, Y., Asanoma, M., Ohe, T., Sawanishi, H., Katsuhara, T., Sugimura, T., Wakabayashi, K. and Terao, Y., 2000. Isolation and identification of a new 2-phenylbenzotriazole-type mutagen (PTBA-3) in the Nikko River in Aichi, Japan. *Chem Res Toxicol* 13 (7), 535–540. [this paper describes a study of the effect of endocrine disruptors]

Shon H.K., Vigneswaran S., Ben Aim R., Ngo H. H., Kim In S. and Cho J. (2005a) Influence of flocculation and adsorption as pretreatment on the fouling of ultrafiltration and nanofiltration membranes: application with biologically treated sewage effluent. *Environmental Science & Technology*, 39 (10), 3864-3871. [this paper details the effect of pre-treatment on membrane processes]

Shon, H.K., Vigneswaran, S., Kim, In S., Cho, J., and Ngo, H.H. (2004) The effect of pre-treatment to ultrafiltration of biologically treated sewage effluent: a detailed effluent organic matter (EfOM) characterization. *Water Research*, 38 (7), 1933-1939. [this paper details the effect of pre-treatment on membrane processes]

Skoog D.A. and Leary J.J. (1992) *Principles of Instrumental Analysis*, 4th edition, Saunders College Publishing, Fort Worth. [this book is a good reference on analytical techniques]

Snyder E. M., Pleus R. C., et al. (2005a) Pharmaceuticals and EDCs in the US water industry - an update. *Journal American Water Works Association* 97(11), 32-36. [this paper gives good information on PPCPs and endocrine disruptors]

Snyder E. M., Snyder S. A., et al. (2004a). Reproductive responses of common carp (*Cyprinus carpio*) exposed in cages to influent of the Las Vegas Wash in Lake Mead, Nevada from late winter to early spring. *Environmental Science & Technology* 38(23), 6385-6395. [this paper describes a field study of the effect of endocrine disruptors on aquatic environments]

Snyder S. A., Keith T. L., et al. (1999) Analytical methods for detection of selected estrogenic compounds in aqueous mixtures. *Environmental Science & Technology* 33(16), 2814-2820. [this paper describes the detection of endocrine disruptors]

Snyder S. A., Keith T. L., et al. (1999) Analytical methods for detection of selected estrogenic compounds in aqueous mixtures. *Environmental Science & Technology* 33(16), 2814-2820. [this paper describes the detection of endocrine disruptors]

Snyder S. A., Keith T. L., et al. (2001a) Bioconcentration of nonylphenol in fathead minnows (*Pimephalas promelas*). *Chemosphere* 44(8), 1697-1702. [this paper describes a study of the effect of endocrine disruptors on aquatic environments]

Snyder S. A., Keith T. L., et al. (2001b) Identification and quantification method for nonylphenol and lower oligomer nonylphenol ethoxylates in fish tissues. *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry* 20(9), 1870-1873. [this paper describes the detection of endocrine disruptors]

Snyder S. A., Leising J., et al. (2004b) Biological attenuation of EDCs and PPCPs: Implications for water reuse. *Ground Water Monitoring & Remediation* 24(2), 108-118. [this paper provides good information in PPCPs and endocrine disruptors]

Snyder S. A., Villeneuve D. L., et al. (2001d) Identification and quantification of estrogen receptor

agonists in wastewater effluents. *Environmental Science & Technology* 35(18), 3620-3625. [this paper describes the detection of endocrine disruptors]

Snyder S., Vanderford B., Pearson R., Quiñones and Yoon Y. (2003a) Analytical method used to measure endocrine disrupting compound in water. *Practice Periodical of Hazardous, Toxic, and Radioactive waste management* 7(4), 224-234. [this paper describes the detection of endocrine disruptors]

Snyder S., Wert E., Westerhoff P., Yoon Y., Rexing D. and Zegers R. (2005b) Occurrence and treatment of endocrine disruptors and pharmaceuticals. *Proceedings from the International Ozone Association 17th World Congress, Strasbourg France August 22-25, 2005*. [this paper provides information on treatment of PPCPS and endocrine disruptors]

Stainfield G. and Jago P. H. (1987) The development and use of a method for measuring the concentration of assimilable organic carbon in water. *WRC Environment Report, RU 1628-M, Manheim, UK*. [this paper describes the measurement of assimilable organic carbon]

Stroud S. W. (1940) Metabolism of the parent compounds of some of the simpler synthetic estrogenic hydrocarbons. *Journal of Endocrinology* 2, 55-62. [this paper describes a study of the effect of endocrine disruptors on aquatic environments]

Stull J.K., Swift D.J.P. and Niedoroda A.W. (1996) Contaminant dispersal on the Palos Verdes continental margin: I. sediments and biota near a major California wastewater discharge. *The Science of the Total Environment* 179, 73-90. [this paper describes a study of the effect of endocrine disruptors on aquatic environments]

Tabak H. H., Bloomhuff R. N., et al. (1981) Steroid hormones as water pollutants II. Studies on the persistence and stability of natural urinary and synthetic ovulation-inhibiting hormones in untreated and treated wastewaters. *Dev. Ind. Microbiol.* 22, 497-519. [this paper describes a study of the effect of endocrine disruptors on aquatic environments]

Tchobanoglous G. and Burton F.L. (1991) *Wastewater engineering: treatment, disposal, and reuse*. 3rd Eds., McGraw-Hill, Inc. New York. [this is an excellent reference book on water reuse]

Ternes T. A., Kreckel P., et al. (1999a) Behaviour and occurrence of estrogens in municipal sewage treatment plants - II. Aerobic batch experiments with activated sludge. *The Science of the Total Environment* 225, 91-99. [this paper provides information on endocrine disruptors]

Ternes T. A., Stumpf M., et al. (1999b) Behavior and occurrence of estrogens in municipal sewage treatment plants - I. Investigations in Germany, Canada and Brazil. *The Science of the Total Environment* 225, 81-90. [this paper provides information field studies on endocrine disruptors]

Thurman E.M. (1985) *Organic geochemistry of natural waters*. M. Nijhoff/ W. Junk Publishers. The Netherlands. [This book gives useful information characterisation of organic matter]

Tiehm A. and Neis U. (2005) Ultrasonic dehalogenation and toxicity reduction of trichlorophenol. *Ultrasonics Sonochemistry*, 12(1-2), 121-125. [this paper gives information on analytical techniques for detection on toxicity]

Tišler T., Zagorc-Končan J., Cotman M., and Drošč A. (2004) Toxicity potential of disinfection agent in tannery wastewater. *Water Research* 38 (16), 3503-3510. [this paper gives information on the characteristics of tannery waste]

Tixier C., Singer H.P., Oellers S. and Müller S.R. (2003) Occurrence and fate of carbamazepine, clofibric acid, diclofenac, ibuprofen, ketoprofen, and naproxen in surface waters. *Environmental Science and Technology* 37 (6), 1061-1068. [this paper gives information on the occurrence and fate of PPCPS]

Tonkes, M., Pols, H., Warmer, H., Bakker, V., 1998. Whole-effluent assessment. RIZA Report 98.034. Institute for Inland Water Management and Waste Water Treatment, Lelystad, The Netherlands. [This report details the techniques for complete assessment of effluent]

USEPA (2008) Current National Recommended Water Quality Criteria, <http://www.epa.gov/waterscience/criteria/wqcriteria.html> [this website give the compilation of US national recommended water quality criteria presented as a summary table containing recommended water quality criteria for the

protection of aquatic life and human health in surface water for approximately 150 pollutants]

USEPA, (1997) Special report on environmental endocrine disruption: an effects assessment and analysis. Office of Research and Development. Washington, D.C. February 1997. EPA/630/R-96/012. [this report describes endocrine disruptors and how they affect aquatic systems]

van der Kooij D. (1990) Assimilable organic carbon (AOC) in drinking water. In Gordon A. McFeters ed Drinking Water Microbiology, New York. [this book chapter gives information on AOC]

Vanderford B.J., Pearson R.A., Rexing D.J., and Snyder, S.A. (2003) Analysis of endocrine disruptors, pharmaceuticals, and personal care products in water using liquid chromatography/tandem mass spectrometry. *Analytical Chemistry* 75(22), 6265-6274. [this paper describes the analytical techniques for PPCPPS and endocrine disruptors]

Vanderford B. J. and Snyder, S. A. (2006) Analysis of Pharmaceuticals in Water by Isotope Dilution Liquid Chromatography/Tandem Mass Spectrometry. *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 40(23), 7312-7320. [this paper describes the analytical techniques for PPCPPS]

Volk, C., Renner, C., Robert, C. and Joret, J.C., 1994. Comparison of two techniques for measuring biodegradable dissolved organic carbon in water. *Environ. Technol.* 15, pp. 545–556. [this book paper gives information on the analytical techniques for AOC]

Weis, A., Bird, M.R., and Nystrom, M. (2003) The chemical cleaning of polymeric UF membranes fouled with spent sulphite liquor over multiple operational cycles. *J. Membrane Sci.* 216 67-79. [this paper details a study on membrane fouling]

Welch R. M., Levin W., et al. (1969) Estrogenic action of DDT and its analogs. *Toxicology and Applied Pharmacology* 14(2), 358-367. [this paper describes a study on endocrine disruptors]

Wetzel, R.G. (1975) *Limnology*, W.B. Saunders Company, Philadelphia. [this book is a good reference on Limnology]

Wetzel, R.G., Hatcher, P.G., and Bianchi, T.S. (1995) Natural photolysis by ultraviolet irradiance of recalcitrant dissolved organic matter to simple substrates for rapid bacterial metabolism. *Limnology and Oceanography*, 40, 1369-1380. [this paper describes characterisation of organic matter]

Wingender J., Neu T.R. and Flemming H.-C. (1999) What are bacterial extracellular polymeric substances?. In: Wingender, J., Neu, T.R., and Flemming, H.-C. Editors, *Microbial extracellular polymeric substances: characterization, structure and function*, Springer, Berlin. [this book chapter gives useful information on EPSs]

Yates L.M. and Von Wandruszka R. (1999). Decontamination of polluted water by treatment with a crude humic acid blend. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 33, 2076-2080. [this paper describes how humic acid is used to treat water]

Zanardi-Lamardo, E., Clark, C. D. and Zika, R. G., "Frit inlet/frit outlet flow field-flow fractionation: methodology for colored dissolved organic material in natural waters," *Analytica Chimica Acta*, 443, 171 (2001). [this paper describes characterisation of organic matter]

Zanardi-Lamardo, E., Clark, C. D., Moore, C. A. and Zika, R. G., "Comparison of the Molecular Mass and Optical Properties of Colored Dissolved Organic Material in Two Rivers and Coastal Waters by Flow Field-Flow Fractionation," *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 36, 2806 (2002). [this paper describes characterisation of organic matter]

Zhang, X., Bishop, P., and Kinkle, B. (1999) Comparison of extraction methods for quantifying extracellular polymers in biofilms. *Wat Sci Tech* 39, 211–218. [this paper details methods to characterise SMPs]

Biographical Sketches

Dr H.K. Shon is currently a UTS Chancellor's postdoctoral research since 2006. His research interests include membrane processes and new analytical methods for wastewater treatment and reuse. He has

made significant contributions to the understanding of membrane fouling in wastewater treatment processes.

Dr S. Vigneswaran has been working on water and wastewater treatment and reuse related research since 1976. During the last twenty years, he has made significant contributions in physico-chemical water treatment related processes such as filtration, flocculation, membrane-filtration and adsorption. His research activities both on new processes development and mathematical modeling are well documented in reputed international journals such as *Water Research*, *American Institute of Chemical Engineers Journal*, *Chemical Engineering Science*, *Journal of American Society of Civil Engineers*, and *Journal of Membrane Science*. He has also been involved in a number of consulting activities in this field in Australia, Indonesia, France, Korea, and Thailand through various national and international agencies. He has authored two books in this field at the invitation of CRC press, USA, and has published more than 230 papers in journals and conference's proceedings. Currently a Professor of the Environmental Engineering Group at the University of Technology, Sydney, he was the founding Head of and the founding Co-ordinator of the University Key Research Strength Program in Water and Waste Management. He is coordinating the Urban Water Cycle and Water and Environmental Management of the newly established Research Institutes on Water and Environmental Resources Management and Nano-scale Technology respectively.

Dr J. Cho, associate professor at Gwangju Institute of Science and Technology, has been studying on research for water reuse using various technologies, including constructed wetland and membrane filtration. He is recently interested in research of ecological engineering as well as related education. He is an editorial board member of *Journal, Water Science and Technology*, IWA and newsletter editor of *Water Reuse Specialty Group* in IWA.

Dr J. Kandasamy is Senior Lecturer in the Faculty of Engineering University of Technology, Sydney, Australia. He obtained his PhD from University of Auckland., New Zealand where is also obtained his Bachelor in Civil Engineering and Masters in Civil Engineering. He has worked in the New South Wales Government as a Senior Engineer for 15 *years and has wide industry knowledge.